

## **About this report**

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

## **Disclaimers**

## Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

## **Data accuracy**

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

# **Table of Contents**

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	14
PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)	28
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	36



## SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

### SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

#### Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

At Litorina, we are convinced that our companies must have a sustainable and long-term approach to be successful. For us, sustainability is essential to drive long-term value creation. We recognize that our decisions and activities have an impact on the environment and on the people and communities where we and our portfolio companies operate. Litorina strongly believes that we as a private equity owner have a great opportunity to drive sustainability matters in our portfolio companies.

As active owners, it is our ambition to manage those impacts and promote sustainable business practices. We are committed to promote good business practices across our portfolio companies and at Litorina. Litorina also believes that companies which effectively manage environmental, social and governance ("ESG") risks and opportunities well are better positioned to increase their long-term value. As a result, ESG is an integrated part of our investment process and active ownership model. We use the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals ("UN SDGs") as a framework to assess risks and opportunities.

### Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Litorina has selected four core SDGs as our focus areas within sustainability. These four SDGs are (5) Gender Diversity, (8) Decent Work and Economic Growth, (13) Climate Action and (16) Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions. During the reporting year we have refined our investment process to be aligned with our commitment to Litorina's four core SDGs. Already in the screening phase we are looking for investments with a potential to improve on these SDGs as well as other company specific SDGs. This will be confirmed during the due diligence process where we also focus on exposure to sustainability risks and opportunities.



In our active ownership phase portfolio companies will, as part of their ESG onboarding, perform a materiality assessment that will identify key ESG areas for the company and will be asked to align these with the UN SDGs. These will then be tracked through meaningful KPIs so we during the exit phase can show the progress made and value-add from an ESG perspective during our ownership.

As a result of the incorporation of the UN SDGs into our investment process we have also established separate ESG due diligence streams. Previously, ESG matters were covered by other due diligence streams, but we feel that a separate ESG due diligence stream provides us with a better roadmap for us and our portfolio companies during our ownership.

To further emphasize the importance of sustainability work in our portfolio companies and to ensure progress we decided in the second half of 2022 to establish ESG committees in each portfolio company.

The ESG committee consists of the Litorina deal team, Litorina's Head of ESG as well as the CEO, Chairman of the Board and sustainability coordinator of the portfolio company. The ESG committees will meet regularly depending on the ESG maturity of the company.

We also launched an ESG onboarding framework describing the steps to be taken to be fully onboarded in our sustainability efforts, consisting of an ESG governance structure, ESG strategy and ESG reporting. In connection with the onboarding framework, we also developed an internal ESG rating system for our portfolio companies, where companies can develop to a five-star sustainable company.

In 2022 we started the first part of our climate work, where we appointed an external advisory firm to educate our portfolio companies on the different green house gas ("GHG") emission scopes and to review the environmental data for 2021 for our portfolio companies. The environmental data has been reviewed and calculated in accordance with the GHG Protocol and included the following scopes:

Scope 1.
Direct emissions
Scope 2. Purchased energy
Scope 3.3 Fuel and energy
Scope 3.6. Business travel

We have also been making preparations for the first SFDR article 8 periodic disclosures for Litorina V that need to be included in the 2022 annual accounts of the fund.

Lastly, we published our second annual sustainability report.

### Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two vears?

We will continue to work with sustainability in our portfolio companies in a structured manner. Our ESG onboarding framework will be introduced to all companies, we will be looking to start rating our portfolio companies (only for internal use) and make sure the ESG committees are monitoring progress.

We will also progress with the next steps of our climate work. Together with an external advisory firm we will be measuring our 2022 GHG emission, where we will be performing an anlysis to define the most material scope 3 emission categories for our portfolio companies. We will try to increase the data collection for the scope 3 categoried based on this analysis as well as the maturity of the company and data availability.

As part of this project we will also develop a Litorina Climate Framework. A framework to guide Litorina and our portfolio companies to take climate action. The Climate Framework is intended to be used as a foundational part of Litorina's management of climate-related topics within our portfolio. It will allow Litorina to pursue annual improvements across our portfolio, and when assessing potential additions to the portfolio. For our portfolio companies we will be developing a Climate Handbook.



The Climate Handbook will include guidance for the portfolio companies to reduce their emissions and climate impact as well as improve their data collection.

Furthermore, we are in the progress of implementing a system that can support us in our ESG data collection process as well as monitoring of ESG KPIs and targets. Litorina has not opted in on the SFDR Principal Adverse Impact ("PAI") indicators yet, but will in the coming years perform a GAP analysis to understand how we need to work with our portfolio companies to be able to collect all the PAI indicators.

#### Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Thirza Hamrin

Position

Partner - CFO & Head of ESG

Organisation's Name

Litorina



'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

# **ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)**

### ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

### REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?



	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

### **SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

(A) Yes(B) No

## **ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT**

## **ALL ASSET CLASSES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

## USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only	US\$ 359,882,006.00
(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]	US\$ 0.00



US\$ 0.00

### Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

SEK - USD date applied of 10.4371 (as per 30 December 2022)

### **ASSET BREAKDOWN**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

### Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

(A) Listed equity       0%         (B) Fixed income       0%         (C) Private equity       >75%         (D) Real estate       0%		(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(C) Private equity >75% 0%	(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
	(B) Fixed income	0%	0%
(D) Real estate 0% 0%	(C) Private equity	>75%	0%
	(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure 0% 0%	(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds 0% 0%	(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry 0% 0%	(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland 0% 0%	(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other 0% 0%	(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet 0% 0%	(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%



## ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL
Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.						

(A) Venture capital	0%
(B) Growth capital	0%
(C) (Leveraged) buy-out	>75%
(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	0%
(E) Secondaries	0%
(F) Other	0%

### **GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
007	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

### How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

### **AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies**

(F) Private equity (1)	0%
------------------------	----



## **STEWARDSHIP**

### **STEWARDSHIP**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

(၁)	Private	equity

(A) Yes, through internal staff	
(B) Yes, through service providers	
(C) Yes, through external managers	
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0

### **ESG INCORPORATION**

## **INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?



## (1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

## (2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

## **ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS**

### LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- o (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

## **SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

## **SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.



Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(I) Private equity	•	0	0

## **OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS**

## **PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 22	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

### In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

-	<b>^</b> \	Ľп	or	~ .
$\Box$ (	Αı	⊏Ⅱ	eı.	uv

☐ (B) Materials

☑ (C) Industrials

**☑** (D) Consumer discretionary

☑ (E) Consumer staples

**☑** (F) Healthcare

☐ (G) Financials

☑ (H) Information technology

☐ (I) Communication services

☑ (J) Utilities

 $\Box$  (K) Real estate



## PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 23	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

☑ (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)

Select from the list:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- **(4)** >75%

☑ (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)

Select from the list:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- **(2)** >10 to 50%
- ☑ (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

Select from the list:

- **(1) >0 to 10%**
- o (2) >10 to 50%

### **SUBMISSION INFORMATION**

### REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- **(B) Publish as ranges**



## POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

### **POLICY**

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

### Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

7 (	(A)	<b>Overall</b>	approach	to res	ponsible	investment

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

 $\ \square$  (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

 $\hfill\Box$  (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions

☑ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

☐ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement

 $\square$  (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

 $\square$  (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here

o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

### Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

_	/ a \	· · · ·				,							
- 1	<i>(</i> /\ \	Chacitic	anudalinac	on climato	change	mari	ho nor	t at	ariidalinac	Λn	environmer	1tつl	tactore
- 1	-	SUEUIIL	OUIOEIIIE2	OH CIIIIIaie	CHAIRCE	unav	ue uai		OUIOEIIIES	1111	environnei	IIai	าสเมเมรา

☐ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

 $\square$  (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

(D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

### Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://litorina.se/sustainability/

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors Add link:

https://litorina.se/sustainability/

 $\ensuremath{\square}$  (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://litorina.se/sustainability/

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors Add link:

https://litorina.se/sustainability/

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions Add link:

https://litorina.se/sustainability/

- $\square$  (K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?



### Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

### **GOVERNANCE**

### **ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

☑ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

Partners

☑ (C) Investment committee, or equivalent

Specify:

Investment committee during the investment and exit processes

☑ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

Head of ESG

 $\circ\,$  (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

	(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment		
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<b>☑</b>	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions		
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	Ø	☑
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- o (A) Yes
- ∘ (B) No



## ● (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Partners including Head of ESG

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- $\circ~$  (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- o (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?



- o (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)

### **EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☑ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☐ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- $\square$  (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- $\square$  (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☑ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☑ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☑ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

		(A)	Yes,	including	ı all	governance-related	l recommend	lec	l disc	losures
--	--	-----	------	-----------	-------	--------------------	-------------	-----	--------	---------

- ☐ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures
- □ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures

Explain why: (Voluntary)



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- **●** (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

### **STRATEGY**

### CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

#### Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☑ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- $\Box$  (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- $\Box$  (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- $\square$  (E) Other elements
- o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

#### How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns Select from dropdown list:
  - **(1)** for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
  - o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
  - o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation



☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- $\circ$  (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☑ (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

☑ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- **(1)** for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- o (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

### STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?



(3)	Private	vtime
	, i iivate	cquity

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.

0

### STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- $\square$  (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- $\Box$  (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☑ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

☐ (A) We	participated	in	'sign-on'	letters
----------	--------------	----	-----------	---------

 $\Box$  (B) We responded to policy consultations

☐ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

 $\square$  (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

☑ (E) Other methods

Describe:

Participated in SVCA/Invest Europe meetings/discussion forums

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

 $\square$  (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

 $\square$  (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

● (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

Because we are not involved directly, but indirectly through service providers



### **CLIMATE CHANGE**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

### Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- $\square$  (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon
- $\square$  (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments Explain why:

We have done this for some of our investments but not all of them

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- $\square$  (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- ☐ (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- $\square$  (D) Yes, using other scenarios
- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

## Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- $\square$  (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
- $\hfill\Box$  (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks
- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Explain why: (Voluntary)



Some of our investments have identified these risks/opportunities but not all of them. We have not established a formal process yet to identify, assess and manage the climated related risks affecting our investments. We have been focusing on the impact that our investments have on the climate instead.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

## During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

- $\square$  (A) Exposure to physical risk
- $\square$  (B) Exposure to transition risk
- $\square$  (C) Internal carbon price
- ☑ (D) Total carbon emissions
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used
    - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
    - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☑ (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
    - (1) Metric or variable used
    - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
    - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- ☐ (F) Avoided emissions
- ☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- $\Box$  (J) Other metrics or variables
- o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

## During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

#### ☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

  - o (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://litorina.se/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/litorina-sustainability-report-2021-2022.pdf

☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions



- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
  - **(1)** Metric disclosed
  - o (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://litorina.se/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/litorina-sustainability-report-2021-2022.pdf

- ☑ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
  - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
    - **(1) Metric disclosed**
    - o (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
  - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://litorina.se/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/litorina-sustainability-report-2021-2022.pdf

o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

### SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- **☑** (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☑ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- $\square$  (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- $\square$  (E) The EU Taxonomy
- $\Box$  (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights



$\Box$ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
☐ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☑ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☑ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☑ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- $\square$  (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method

outcomes connected to its investment activities

o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



## PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

### **POLICY**

### **INVESTMENT GUIDELINES**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

# What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☐ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- $\square$  (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- ☑ (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity–specific ESG guidelines

### **FUNDRAISING**

### **COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

- o (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- o (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- $\circ~$  (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- o (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years



### PRE-INVESTMENT

### **MATERIALITY ANALYSIS**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	00 21	PE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

#### During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

- (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique Select from dropdown list
  - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
  - o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
  - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- o (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
- o (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
- o (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3.1	CORE	PE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- $\square$  (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- $\square$  (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- □ (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- $\Box$  (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (I) Other



#### **DUE DILIGENCE**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

#### ☑ (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

### ☑ (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

#### ☑ (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments

#### (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- $\Box$  (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate

### ☑ (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- o (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

☑ (A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags



#### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- **☑** (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies

#### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (D) We conduct site visits

### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel

#### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement

#### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal

### Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments



### **POST-INVESTMENT**

### **MONITORING**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	00 21	PE 6.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

## During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

### ☑ (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%

#### ☑ (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%

### ☑ (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%
- o (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	00 21	PE 7.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

## What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

- $\square$  (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance
- $\square$  (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses
- $\ensuremath{\square}$  (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio



Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☐ (G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

☐ (H) Other

o (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 9	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

## Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

## ☑ (A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments



## ☑ (C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

### ☑ (D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☐ (E) Other
- o (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	00 21	PE 12.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

### How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

### ☑ (A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

#### (B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- $\square$  (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only

# $\square$ (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

### $\ \square$ (E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

### (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments



☑ (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- $\hfill\square$  (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level

### **EXIT**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

- $\square$  (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
- ☐ (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
- ☐ (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
- $\square$  (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- $\square$  (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- $\square$  (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold
- ☐ (G) Other
- o (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

### DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- ☑ (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- ☑ (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- ☑ (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- ☐ (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- ☑ (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- ☑ (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- ☐ (G) Other



o (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

## **CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)**

## **CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES**

### APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

#### How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- $\Box$  (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- $\square$  (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- $\square$  (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- $\Box$  (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy  $\Box$  (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

### INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

#### Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- $\square$  (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed

  - o (2) selected sections of the report



 $\circ$  (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

